Naveen Shodh Sansar (An International Refereed / Peer Review Multidisciplinary Research Journal)
RNI No.- MPHIN/2013/60638, ISSN 2320-8767, E- ISSN 2394-3793, Scientific Journal Impact Factor (SJIF)- 8.054,
April to June 2026, E-Journal, Vol. I, Issue LIV (54), ISO 9001:2015 - E2024049304 (QMS)

Liquidity Management and Financial Performance of Hospital Equipment Industries: A Comparative Empirical Analysis

Parv Jain* **Dr. Pragya Sharma****

*Research Scholar, SAM Global University, Raisen (M.P.) INDIA
** Professor, SAM Global University, Raisen (M.P.) INDIA

Abstract: Liquidity management is a critical component of corporate financial management that ensures the availability of sufficient short-term resources to support day-to-day business operations while enhancing organizational profitability. Hospital equipment industries operate in a highly capital-intensive environment characterized by significant investments in inventory, extended credit periods, and dependence on institutional buyers, making liquidity management an important determinant of financial performance. The present study examines the relationship between liquidity management and financial performance of selected hospital equipment industries in Madhya Pradesh through a comparative empirical analysis. Primary data were collected from 40 managerial respondents representing ten hospital equipment organizations located in Indore, Bhopal, Jabalpur, and Gwalior. Descriptive statistics, comparative financial analysis, Karl Pearson's correlation, and simple regression analysis were employed to examine the relationship between liquidity indicators and financial performance. The findings reveal that organizations maintaining efficient inventory management, timely receivables collection, and adequate cash flow demonstrate stronger profitability and operational efficiency. The study also confirms a statistically significant positive relationship between liquidity management and financial performance. The paper concludes that effective liquidity management is essential for improving financial sustainability, reducing operational risk, and enhancing competitiveness in the hospital equipment industry.
Keywords: Liquidity Management, Working Capital, Financial Performance, Hospital Equipment Industry, Healthcare Finance, Comparative Analysis.

Introduction - The healthcare industry has become one of the fastest-growing sectors of the Indian economy due to increasing public health awareness, technological advancement, government investment, and expansion of private healthcare services. The hospital equipment industry plays a vital role in supporting healthcare delivery by supplying diagnostic devices, intensive care equipment, surgical instruments, patient monitoring systems, laboratory equipment, and other essential medical technologies. The performance of healthcare institutions largely depends on the uninterrupted availability of quality medical equipment, making hospital equipment suppliers an indispensable part of the healthcare ecosystem.

Unlike many manufacturing industries, hospital equipment firms operate under unique financial conditions. They maintain large inventories to ensure immediate product availability while extending credit facilities to government hospitals, private hospitals, and healthcare institutions. These operational characteristics increase dependence on effective liquidity management because substantial financial resources remain invested in current assets such as inventory and receivables. Delayed payments from institutional buyers often create liquidity constraints, forcing organizations to rely on short-term borrowings for operational continuity.

Liquidity management refers to the efficient management of current assets and current liabilities to ensure that an organization can meet its short-term obligations without compromising profitability. Proper liquidity management involves maintaining an optimal balance between cash, receivables, inventory, and current liabilities. Excess liquidity may reduce profitability because idle funds generate limited returns, whereas inadequate liquidity may disrupt procurement, production, and customer service. Therefore, achieving an appropriate balance between liquidity and profitability has become a major challenge for financial managers.

The hospital equipment industry in Madhya Pradesh has witnessed considerable growth during the past decade due to increasing healthcare expenditure, modernization of hospitals, and expansion of diagnostic facilities. However, organizations operating in this sector continue to face

financial challenges such as delayed government payments, increasing inventory costs, inflationary pressures, and intense market competition. These factors increase the importance of efficient liquidity management for ensuring financial sustainability and operational efficiency.

Although numerous studies have examined working capital management in manufacturing and service industries, empirical research focusing specifically on hospital equipment industries remains limited. Most previous studies have concentrated on listed companies or general manufacturing sectors without considering the unique financial characteristics of healthcare equipment suppliers. Consequently, there exists a significant research gap regarding liquidity management practices within this industry.

The present study attempts to address this gap by conducting a comparative empirical analysis of selected hospital equipment industries in Madhya Pradesh. The study evaluates major liquidity indicators, compares financial performance across organizations, and statistically examines the relationship between liquidity management and profitability. The findings are expected to provide useful insights for financial managers, healthcare administrators, policymakers, and researchers interested in improving financial sustainability within the healthcare supply sector.

Review of Literature

Liquidity management has long been recognized as one of the most important determinants of corporate financial performance. Efficient management of current assets and liabilities enables organizations to maintain operational continuity, reduce financing costs, and improve profitability. Several researchers have investigated the relationship between liquidity management and organizational performance across different industries.

Deloof (2003) concluded that efficient management of receivables and inventory significantly improves corporate profitability by reducing the operating cycle. Similarly, Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006) found that shorter cash conversion cycles positively influence firm profitability, emphasizing the importance of efficient working capital management. Padachi (2006) reported that manufacturing firms maintaining effective inventory and cash management practices achieve superior financial performance and operational efficiency.

Raheman and Nasr (2007) demonstrated that working capital management significantly affects corporate profitability through efficient management of inventory, receivables, and cash resources. Gill, Biger, and Mathur (2010) also established a positive relationship between liquidity management and profitability among American manufacturing firms. Karaduman et al. (2011) confirmed that organizations maintaining shorter receivables collection periods and efficient inventory utilization generate higher returns on assets.

In the Indian context, Pandey (2018) emphasized that effective liquidity management contributes to organizational stability and financial sustainability. Jain and Khan (2018) highlighted that maintaining an optimal balance between liquidity and profitability is essential for achieving long-term business success. Gitman and Zutter (2019) further argued that liquidity planning should be integrated with strategic financial management to improve corporate performance. Within the healthcare sector, Smith (2021) observed that hospital equipment suppliers frequently experience liquidity challenges because of delayed institutional payments and large inventory investments. Sharma (2016) similarly noted that healthcare suppliers require efficient working capital management to maintain uninterrupted supply chains and improve financial performance.

Despite substantial literature on working capital management, very limited empirical research has specifically examined liquidity management practices in hospital equipment industries. The present study attempts to bridge this gap by analyzing selected hospital equipment organizations in Madhya Pradesh using comparative financial analysis and statistical techniques.

Research Gap: The review of existing literature indicates that numerous studies have investigated the relationship between working capital management, liquidity management, and corporate profitability across manufacturing, retail, pharmaceutical, and service industries. Researchers such as Deloof (2003), Padachi (2006), Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006), and Gill et al. (2010) have consistently reported that efficient management of current assets and current liabilities enhances profitability and financial stability. Similarly, Indian studies have emphasized the importance of liquidity planning in improving business performance.

Objectives of the Study: The present study was undertaken to achieve the following objectives:

1. To examine the liquidity management practices followed by selected hospital equipment industries in Madhya Pradesh.
2. To compare the financial performance of selected hospital equipment organizations using major liquidity and profitability indicators.
3. To analyze the relationship between liquidity management and financial performance.
4. To evaluate the influence of inventory management, receivables management, and cash management on organizational profitability.
5. To suggest suitable financial strategies for improving liquidity management and long-term financial sustainability.

Hypotheses of the Study: To achieve the objectives, the following hypotheses were formulated:

H_{01}: There is no significant relationship between liquidity management and financial performance of hospital equipment industries.

H_{11}: There is a significant positive relationship between

liquidity management and financial performance of hospital equipment industries.

H_{02}: Efficient receivables management has no significant influence on organizational profitability.

H_{12}: Efficient receivables management significantly improves organizational profitability.

H_{03}: Inventory management does not significantly affect financial performance.

H_{13}: Efficient inventory management significantly improves financial performance.

Research Methodology: The study adopted a descriptive and analytical research design to examine liquidity management practices among selected hospital equipment industries in Madhya Pradesh. The study relied primarily on primary data collected through a structured questionnaire administered to finance managers, accounts managers, operations managers, purchase managers, and business owners. Secondary information was collected from annual reports, financial management books, journal articles, government publications, and company records.

The study covered ten selected hospital equipment organizations located in Indore, Bhopal, Gwalior, and Jabalpur. A total of **40 respondents** participated in the survey, with four managerial respondents selected from each organization. Convenience sampling was adopted due to accessibility and availability of respondents.

The questionnaire consisted of demographic questions and statements measuring respondents' perceptions regarding liquidity management, inventory management, receivables management, profitability, and financial performance. Responses were measured using a four-point Likert scale ranging from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree."

For data analysis, descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were employed to summarize the responses. Comparative financial analysis was performed using selected financial ratios including Current Ratio, Quick Ratio, Inventory Turnover Ratio, Working Capital Turnover Ratio, Net Profit Margin, and Return on Investment. Karl Pearson's Correlation Coefficient was applied to determine the strength and direction of relationships between liquidity management and financial performance. Simple Linear Regression Analysis was used to examine the predictive influence of liquidity management on organizational financial performance. Statistical analysis was carried out at the **5% level of significance**.

Results and Discussion

Descriptive Financial Analysis: The comparative financial analysis indicated noticeable variations in liquidity management practices among the selected organizations. Meditech Services Pvt. Ltd., Indore, demonstrated the strongest liquidity position with a current ratio of **1.88**, while Om Hospital Equipment, Gwalior, recorded the lowest current ratio of **1.64**. Similarly, Meditech Services achieved the highest inventory turnover ratio (**5.7 times**) and return on investment (**15.2%**), indicating superior working capital utilization.

The analysis further revealed that organizations maintaining shorter receivable collection periods generally reported higher profitability. Companies with stronger inventory turnover ratios and better cash flow management exhibited comparatively higher working capital turnover and operational efficiency.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Liquidity Management	3.46	0.54
Inventory Management	3.38	0.61
Receivables Management	3.42	0.57
Financial Performance	3.51	0.52

The descriptive statistics indicate that respondents generally agreed that effective liquidity management contributes significantly to improved organizational performance.

Correlation Analysis: To examine the relationship between liquidity management and financial performance, Karl Pearson's Correlation Coefficient was calculated.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix

Variables	Liquidity Management	Financial Performance
Liquidity Management	1.000	**0.72**
Financial Performance	**0.72**	1.000

Significance (p < 0.01)

The correlation coefficient of **0.72** indicates a strong positive relationship between liquidity management and financial performance. The relationship is statistically significant at the 1% level, suggesting that organizations maintaining effective liquidity management practices achieve superior financial outcomes.

Accordingly, **H_{11} is accepted**, while the null hypothesis is rejected.

Regression Analysis: Simple linear regression analysis was performed to examine whether liquidity management predicts financial performance.

Table 3. Regression Model Summary

Model	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	F-value	Sig.
Regression	0.72	0.52	0.50	41.36	0.000

The regression model indicates that liquidity management explains approximately **52%** of the variation in financial performance ($R^2 = 0.52$). The F-value of **41.36** is statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), confirming that the model has good explanatory power.

The findings suggest that organizations maintaining efficient inventory control, effective receivables collection, and adequate cash reserves are more likely to achieve higher profitability and stronger operational performance.

Discussion of Findings: The findings of the present study strongly support the theoretical argument that efficient liquidity management contributes to improved organizational performance. The statistical analysis demonstrates that organizations maintaining higher current ratios, efficient

inventory turnover, and timely receivables collection achieve comparatively better profitability and financial stability.

The results are consistent with earlier studies conducted by Deloof (2003), Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006), Padachi (2006), Gill et al. (2010), and Pandey (2018), all of which reported that efficient working capital management positively influences profitability. The present study extends these findings to the hospital equipment industry by demonstrating that healthcare equipment suppliers face unique liquidity challenges because of delayed institutional payments and substantial inventory investments.

Conclusion: Liquidity management has become one of the most significant determinants of financial performance in hospital equipment industries. Organizations operating in this sector face unique financial challenges due to substantial investments in inventory, extended receivable periods, dependence on institutional customers, and continuous technological advancements. Consequently, efficient management of current assets and current liabilities is essential for maintaining operational continuity, financial stability, and long-term sustainability.

The present study examined liquidity management practices among selected hospital equipment industries in Madhya Pradesh through comparative financial analysis and empirical statistical techniques. The comparative analysis revealed considerable differences in liquidity positions, inventory turnover, receivable management, and profitability among the selected organizations. Companies demonstrating better liquidity management practices consistently reported stronger financial performance.

The descriptive statistics indicated a high level of managerial agreement regarding the importance of liquidity management in improving profitability and operational efficiency. Correlation analysis revealed a strong positive relationship between liquidity management and financial performance ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that organizations maintaining effective working capital policies achieve superior financial outcomes. Furthermore, regression analysis demonstrated that liquidity management explains approximately 52% of the variation in financial performance, confirming its significant predictive influence.

References :-

1. Brigham, E. F., &Ehrhardt, M. C. (2017). *Financial management: Theory and practice* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
2. Chandra, P. (2019). *Financial management: Theory and practice* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
3. Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 30*(3–4), 573–588.
4. Eljelly, A. M. A. (2004). Liquidity–profitability trade-off: An empirical investigation in an emerging market. *International Journal of Commerce and Management, 14*(2), 48–61.
5. Filbeck, G., & Krueger, T. (2005). Industry-related differences in working capital management. *Mid-American Journal of Business, 20*(2), 11–18.
6. Gill, A., Biger, N., &Mathur, N. (2010). The relationship between working capital management and profitability. *Business and Economics Journal, 10*, 1–9.
7. Gitman, L. J., &Zutter, C. J. (2019). *Principles of managerial finance* (15th ed.). Pearson.
8. Jain, P. K., & Khan, M. Y. (2018). *Financial management: Text, problems and cases*. McGraw-Hill Education.
9. Karaduman, H. A., Akbas, H. E., Ozsozgun, A., & Durer, S. (2011). Effects of working capital management on profitability. *International Journal of Economics and Finance, 3*(2), 47–54.
10. Kothari, C. R. (2019). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques* (4th ed.). New Age International.
11. Lazaridis, I., &Tryfonidis, D. (2006). Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies. *Journal of Financial Management and Analysis, 19*(1), 26–35.
12. Maheshwari, S. N. (2017). *Financial management principles and practice*. Sultan Chand & Sons.
13. Padachi, K. (2006). Trends in working capital management and its impact on firms' performance. *International Review of Business Research Papers, 2*(2), 45–58.
14. Pandey, I. M. (2018). *Financial management* (12th ed.). Vikas Publishing House.
15. Raheman, A., & Nasr, M. (2007). Working capital management and profitability. *International Review of Business Research Papers, 3*(1), 279–300.
16. Ross, S. A., Westerfield, R. W., & Jordan, B. D. (2019). *Fundamentals of corporate finance* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
17. Sharma, A. K. (2016). Financial challenges in Indian healthcare industries. *Journal of Health Management, 18*(3), 367–381.

****