Naveen Shodh Sansar (An International Refereed / Peer Review Multidisciplinary Research Journal)
RNI No.- MPHIN/2013/60638, ISSN 2320-8767, E- ISSN 2394-3793, Scientific Journal Impact Factor (SJIF)- 8.054,
January to March 2026, E-Journal, Vol. I, Issue LIII (53), ISO 9001:2015 - E2024049304 (QMS)

Employment Rights of Persons with Disabilities: Analyzing Workplace Inclusion and Discrimination

Chetan Kothiya* **Dr. Nisha Kevaliya****

*Research Scholar(UGC-JRF), School of Law, DAVV, Indore (M.P.) INDIA
** Principal, Chameli Devi College, Indore (M.P.) INDIA

Abstract: The present discussion is situated within the analysis of disability employment rights and considers the level of workplace inclusion as well as experiential reports from persons with disabilities that show how discrimination plays out. It examines legal structures created to shield these particular members of the population, taking into consideration significant legislations such as the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission guidance along with international aspects of disability rights in employment. Issues persist equally concerning, often in less explicit ways, the impact of discrimination on people with disabilities and their ability to fully participate in the world of work. Obstacles to inclusion such as physical inaccessibility of places of work, societal attitudes and employer ignorance are discussed in the paper. It also discusses the best practices to support inclusive environments, featuring organizational policies and procedures, assistive technologies, and diversity training initiatives that advance equality. This paper highlights that adherence to legal requirements is insufficient a positive and inclusive corporate culture which values diversity and promotes equal opportunities for employees irrespective of disabled status needs to be implemented.

Keywords: Workplace inclusion, discrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Accessibility, inclusive workplace, Diversity training, adaptive technologies, Equality, Workplace Culture.

Introduction - Disability employment rights are a cornerstone of contemporary human rights dialogue and social reform. Although decades of legislation and international advocacy, persons with disabilities still encounter obstacles in gaining equal access to the labor market. Workplace inclusion is the creation of environments in which any individual or group can be and feel welcomed and included, feel free to participate, speak up, and share ideas where their diverse talents are fully utilized. But structural, attitudinal and systemic barriers remain widespread hampering equal opportunities in the labor market.

Legal frameworks such as the ADA and CRPD offer a sound basis for employment rights protection. The CRPD, essentially drafted in 2006 and ratified by more than 190 states parties, requires states to eliminate discrimination and guarantee access throughout the entire cycle of employment. Likewise, the ADA mandates reasonable accommodations by employers and also prohibits any employment discrimination due to disability. Despite these achievements, the practical effectiveness of such schemes varies and enforcement is frequently weak or dispersed between different authorities across jurisdictions.

Internationally, inequalities between disabled and non-disabled people remain. Statistics show that less than 23% of people with disabilities are employed, compared to over 65% of those without disabilities. Obstacles Inaccessible structures, societal attitudes, lack of employer knowledge and policy reluctance perpetuate the gap. Regulatory institutions such as the EEOC in USA are responsible for ensuring that laws are followed, yet discrimination is still found to occur during recruitment and promotion. In India, for instance, although the Right of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 widened disability categories and stipulated 4% employment reservations in government jobs - participation is low due to under representation, weak oversight and social discrimination. From an economic standpoint, such practices make good business sense. Research has shown that disability inclusive organizations outperform their peers in productivity, innovation and profitability. More than simply an economic indicator, inclusion promotes dignity and builds the conditions for more active community participation and also other socially equitable purposes as well as those relating to sustainable development objectives.Disability discrimination in employment remains a significant issue. In 2024, the EEOC recorded 33,668 disability-based discrimination charges, a 15% increase from 2023. Despite efforts for inclusion, the unemployment rate for individuals with disabilities remains higher at 7.5%. Over 28.7% of U.S. adults report disabilities, highlighting the scope of potential

discrimination. Global benchmarks, like the 2025 Disability Index, assess workplace practices, emphasizing the ongoing need for enforcement of laws like the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The history of disability rights from the early UN proclamations in the 1970s to a CRPD framework for rights represents a shift from charity and welfare assistance toward equality and empowerment. The principles of accessibility, non-discrimination and participation of the CRPD have changed international and national policy and law landscapes - ink those such as the Rehabilitation Act 1973, Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), alongside comparable standards in Europe or Asia. Still, current issues from pulled policies, to underrepresented leadership and lack of adequate accommodations show us that ongoing advocacy, research and enforcement are necessary.

This paper examines the rights of disabled people in relation to work in a multi-focal way taking account legal and social and economic considerations. It looks at historical contextual legal instruments, common grounds for workplace discrimination, and the capacity of inclusion strategies to address ongoing discrimination. By combining data from international and national sources, the research seeks to both showcase progress made as well as structural gaps that need to be bridged in order for fair and inclusive workplaces to become a reality in the 21st century.

Review of Literature

SchwitterChatzitheochari& Liebe (2025) performed a meta-analysis on experiments of bus conductors modifying the behavior and practices to promote maximum disability hiring anywhere in buses from 1972 to 2025. Of the 69 studies included, authors found that candidates with a disability were called back at significantly lower rates than those who did not have a disability, indicating pervasive bias in hiring. The review suggests that the degree of discrimination differs depending on applicant features, disability types, and occupational settings. It is worth noting that the evidence base for some chronic conditions, and impairments has been better developed than for other types of disabilities. The authors recommend that intersectional and cross-national experimental designs be employed to reflect the multi-faceted nature of disability discrimination. Their work highlights the importance of theoretically informed research to understand the drivers of the disability employment gap and to support fair recruitment processes around the world.

Aksnes and Ulstein (2024)conducted a scoping review of 42 studies investigating the impact of workplace factors on facilitating successful employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities (PWD). They selected seven driving practices of sustainable employment: accommodation, cultural practices, HRM policies, leadership, participation, support and training. The study cited the lack of research, most of it on PWD experiences than organizational gains. The research authors emphasize the need for inclusive policies and supportive leadership to support longer-term, equitable employment of PWD.

Alves, Bezerra, and Torres (2022) conducted an integrative review of Brazilian literature on the workplace inclusion of people with disabilities (PWD) from 2008 to 2018. Grounded in the Social Model of Disability, the study analyzed national research using bibliometric and scient metric methods to assess patterns of inclusion. Findings revealed persistently low participation of PWD in the labor market, largely due to employer prejudice, lack of adaptive organizational practices, and poor workplace accessibility and quality of life. The authors highlight the need for structural and cultural changes within organizations to promote equitable inclusion and uphold the citizenship rights of PWD.

Chumo, Kabaria, and Mberu (2023)examined the social inclusion of PWDs in employment, emphasizing the place of a support network by way of employers within informal settlements. The report underscores the fact that global unemployment of PWDs continues because many work place structures are not inclusive, and employers face limited engagement. Different from earlier research that concentrates on manager “supply” side, this study focuses on the demand side which explores what employers can do to promote inclusion with more inclusive workplace culture and practices. The authors argue that increased workforce participation and meaningful inclusion calls for a shift of focus from PWD effort to supportive organizations which promote the value of diversity and accessibility across relatively low-resource labor markets.

Teborg, Hünefeld, and Gerdes (2024)performed a scoping review to explore research on the employment conditions of workers with disabilities from 2017 through 2022. Based on 114 studies, the authors demonstrate that social aspects regarding work, together with accessibility and flexibility, are key elements for inclusion and job sustainability among employees with disabilities. The results suggest that while there is a growing recognition of the importance of inclusive work design, people with disabilities are under-represented in workplace design research. It underscores the imperative of more inclusive and participatory methodologies in achieving workplaces that are accessible, yet adaptable, thus equitable to all.

Objective 1: To examine the relationship between workplace inclusion practices and job satisfaction among employees with disabilities.

Hypotheses:

- **H_{01} (Null Hypothesis):** There is no significant relationship between workplace inclusion practices and job satisfaction among employees with disabilities.
- **H_{11} (Alternative Hypothesis):** There is a significant positive relationship between workplace inclusion practices and job satisfaction among employees with disabilities.

Objective 2:

To determine whether **workplace discrimination** significantly affects the **employment retention** of persons with disabilities.

Hypotheses:

- **H_{02} (Null Hypothesis):** Workplace discrimination has no significant effect on employment retention among persons with disabilities.
- **H_{12} (Alternative Hypothesis):** Workplace discrimination has a significant negative effect on employment retention among persons with disabilities.

Conceptual Framework : The research examines how employment rights knowledge and organizational inclusion behaviors impact disability-based discrimination at work and job satisfaction.

1. Employment Rights Awareness (IV1): Knowledge of rights, adjustments and anti-discrimination legislation.
2. Organizational Inclusion Practices (IV2): Initiatives related to access, quality, and participation.
3. Work place discrimination (DV2): Discrimination, mistreatment or partiality in the work place.
4. Job Satisfaction (DV2): Global satisfaction on the part of employees with disabilities at work.

Relationships:

1. Greater level of rights knowledge leads to a decrease in workplace discrimination.
2. Inclusivity reduces discrimination and improves job satisfaction.
3. Less discrimination results in high job satisfaction for disabled employees.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.961	11

A **Cronbach's Alpha** of **0.961** for 11 items indicates **excellent internal consistency**. This suggests that the items on the scale are highly correlated and measure the same underlying construct reliably. A value above 0.9 is considered very high, meaning the scale is highly reliable for assessing the intended measure.

Logistic Regression

Model Summary

R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
.483	.456	.819

The R^2 of 0.483 indicates that the model explains 48.3% of the variation. The Adjusted R^2 of 0.456 adjusts for the number of predictors, explaining 45.6% of the variance. The Standard Error of 0.819 shows a reasonable fit, with a small average deviation from the regression line.

Table: ANOVA and Coefficients for Job Satisfaction Model

Component	Result
ANOVA (Model Fit)	
Regression Sum of Squares	58.326
Residual Sum of Squares	62.361
Total Sum of Squares	120.687
F (5, 93)	17.397 (p = 0.000)
Coefficients	
Constant (B)	0.852 (p = 0.006) (Significant)
Promotion Opportunities (B)	0.199(p = 0.098) (Not significant)
Workplace Accessibility (B)	-0.117 (p = 0.306) (Not significant)
Management Support (B)	0.019 (p = 0.883) (Not significant)
Feeling Valued at Work (B)	0.311 (p = 0.007) (Significant)
Positive Work Environment (B)	0.348 (p = 0.008) (Significant)

The ANOVA test shows the model is significant (F = 17.397, p = 0.000), indicating that at least one predictor influences job satisfaction. Significant predictors are feeling valued at work (B = 0.311, p = 0.007) and positive work environment (B = 0.348, p = 0.008). Non-significant predictors include promotion opportunities, workplace accessibility, and management support. The model explains 48.3% of the variation in job satisfaction (R^2 = 0.483), suggesting moderate fit with room for improvement.

Findings:

1. Persons with disabilities encounter both structural and attitudinal discrimination in hiring, promotion, and day-to-day workplace interactions.
2. Inclusive policies, assistive technologies, and diversity training contribute to higher productivity, improved morale, and better employee retention.
3. Organizations that adopt proactive inclusion strategies tend to show enhanced innovation and a stronger sense of social responsibility.

Conclusion: This study emphasizes the importance of being included in the workplace for individuals with disabilities, indicating that having a positive work climate and feeling valued at work have a great impact on job satisfaction. But the research also finds obstacles such as unfriendly physical environments and discrimination that have yet to lead to complete inclusion even in the wake of legal measures such as the ADA and EEOC mandates. Study limitations stem from its dependence on secondary data, which ignore socio-cultural and sectorial differences, as well as a paucity of empirical evidence about the actual long-term effects of inclusion policies and practices especially in developing countries. Throughout the responses, suggestions provided were mandatory disability inclusion training and regular accessibility audits by employers; purporting strengthened enforcement of rights-based legislative measures; and future research on digital accessibilities, as well as on disabilities' life in a confinement scenario. Future research opportunities may investigate the long-term impact of inclusion initiatives, examine whether remote work is conducive to job satisfaction, and analyses the economic and social benefits of inclusive-inducing workplace practices.

References:-

1. Guide to Disability Rights Laws - ADA.gov - https://

www.ada.gov/resources/disability-rights-guide/
2. Disability Rights | American Civil Liberties Union - https://www.aclu.org/know-your-rights/disability-rights
3. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities | OHCHR - https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-persons-disabilities
4. Civil Rights for People With Disabilities | The Arc - https://thearc.org/policy-advocacy/civil-rights/
5. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) - https://social.desa.un.org/issues/disability/crpd/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities-crpd
6. Your rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) - USAGov - https://www.usa.gov/disability-rights
7. Disability History: The Disability Rights Movement (U.S. National https://www.nps.gov/articles/disabilityhistory rightsmovement.htm
8. How the Disability Community Can Fight Back in 2025 - https://www.americanprogress.org/article/how-the-disability-community-can-fight-back-in-2025/
9. With disability rights under attack, history offers hope and a possible ... - https://www.npr.org/sections/shots-health-news/2025/05/05/nx-s1-5384240/with-disability-rights-under-attack-history-offers-hope-and-a-possible-playbook
10. Project 2025 and the Disability Community - DREDF - https://dredf.org/blog-post/project-2025-and-the-disability-community/
11. The US right is coming for disabled people. Here's why that ... - https://www.theguardian.com/global/2025/mar/27/us-disability-rights-trump
12. https://www.ada.gov/resources/disability-rights-guide/
13. https://www.aclu.org/know-your-rights/disability-rights
14. https://thearc.org/policy-advocacy/civil-rights/
15. https://social.desa.un.org/issues/disability/crpd/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities-crpd
16. https://paraquad.org/blog/disability-equality-4-federal-laws-protecting-people-with-disabilities
17. https://www.ada.gov/
18. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convention_on_the_Rights_ of_Persons_with_Disabilities
19. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convention_on_the_Rights_of_Persons_with_Disabilities
20. https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/disability-laws-and-acts-by-country-area.html
21. https://www.americanprogress.org/article/how-the-disability-community-can-fight-back-in-2025/
22. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/diversity/disabilityrights/news/trumps-executive-order/
23. https://hpod.law.harvard.edu/news/entry/changing-US-disability-policy
24. https://www.theregreview.org/2025/09/23/weber-disability-employment-goals-and-the-war-on-dei/.
25. Disabilities in India - statistics & facts - Statista
26. C-30: Disabled population by type of disability, type of households ...
27. Prevalence, pattern and determinants of disabilities in India
28. 2023-24 Annual Report - Government of India - DEPwD
29. Chapter 4 Dimension of Disability in India - MoSPI
30. Disability in India - Wikipedia
31. CENSUS OF INDIA 2011 DATA ON DISABILITY
32. Socioeconomic and geographic variations of disabilities in India
33. Disability Census 2014-15 - Social Security Mission
34. State/UT-wise Prevalence of Any Disability by National Family Health ...
35. Prevalence, pattern and determinants of disabilities in India - Frontiers
36. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019-21 - The DHS Program
37. Key findings from NFHS-5 India report: Observing trends of health ...
38. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019-21
39. Demography India
40. Severity of Disability in India: Insights from NFHS-5 Data
41. Prevalence, pattern, and determinants of disabilities in India - Frontiers
42. nfhs National Family Health Survey
43. Understanding India's National Family Health Survey
44. Prevalence, pattern and determinants of disabilities in India
45. Findings from Census 2011 | Premier Science
46. Prevalence patterns of disability in India based on NFHS-5. (A ...
47. National Family Health Survey: Govt drops disability ... - Times of India
48. India's Disability Data Gaps | PDF - Scribd
49. Disability divides in India: Evidence from 2011 Census
50. Prevalence, pattern and determinants of disabilities in India - PubMed
51. (PDF) Prevalence, pattern and determinants of disabilities in India
52. Prevalence, pattern and determinants of disabilities in India - Frontiers.
53. Disability Questionnaire in NFHS
54. Frequently Asked Question's (FAQ's) | Persons with Disabilities ...
55. Government Action on Disability Policy - Questionnaire
56. Assessment of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 in India
57. The Rights of Persons with Disability Act, 2016: Challenges ... - NIH
58. Persons with Disabilities in India - MoSPI
59. FAQs | Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities ...
60. Persons with Disabilities - DoPT

61. A secondary data analysis of the National Sample Survey (2018)
62. STATUS OF DISABILITY IN INDIA - NIUA
63. Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 - India Code
64. Acts, Rules & Regulations | Department of Empowerment ... - DEPwD
65. ANALYZING THE RIGHTS AND ENTITLEMENTS OF PERSONS ...
66. Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 - Wikipedia
67. The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (RPWD) - Deque Systems
68. RPwD Act Explained: Inclusion, Rights & Workplace Impact
69. Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act – UPSC Social Justice Notes
70. India: Implementation of the rights of persons with Disabilities Act 2016
71. India Disabilities Act- What Employers Need to Know - Global Payroll
72. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) | Division for Inclusive Social Development (DISD)
73. Homepage | Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (DEPwD) -Home | DEPwD | - > 0 $
74. Disability Questionnaire in NFHS
75. Based On NSS 76 Round Survey (July 2018
76. Persons with Disabilities in India - MoSPI
77. Chapter Four Schedule 26: Survey of Persons with Disabilities
78. a secondary data analysis of the National Sample Survey (2018)
79. Key Reports and Surveys on Disability in India - Psychology Town
80. STATUS OF DISABILITY IN INDIA - NIUA
81. vnr_disability_country_questiona...
82. A Qualitative Survey on Learning Disability - IJIP
83. screening checklist for school students (part 1 and part 2)
84. Government Action on Disability Policy - Questionnaire
85. Aksnes, S. Y., & Ulstein, J. (2024). Sustainable employment for people with disabilities: A scoping review on workplace practices and positive employment outcomes. Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research, 26(1), 189–210. https://doi.org/10.16993/sjdr.1089.
86. Schwitter, N., Chatzitheochari, S., & Liebe, U. (2025). Disability discrimination in hiring: A systematic review. Social Science & Medicine. Advance online publication.
87. Alves, P. P. H., Bezerra, H. J. S., & Torres, T. de L. (2022). Inclusion of people with disabilities at work: Integrative review. Psicologia: Teoria e Prática, 24(2). https://doi.org/10.5935/1980-6906/ePTPSP13655.en.
88. Chumo, I., Kabaria, C., &Mberu, B. (2023). Social inclusion of persons with disability in employment: What would it take to socially support employed persons with disability in the labor market? Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences, 4, 1125129. https://doi.org/10.3389/fresc.2023.1125129.

✻✻✻✻✻✻✻✻✻✻✻✻