

The Impact of Climate Change on Plant Phenology : An Indian Perspective

Dr. Jitendra Singh Pachaya*

*Asistant Professor (Botany) PMCOE, KSCSK. Govt. P.G. College, Alirajpur (M.P.) INDIA

Abstract : Plant phenology, the study of the timing of periodic biological events in plants, such as flowering and leafing, serves as a sensitive indicator of climate change. As global temperatures rise and weather patterns shift, phenological events are occurring earlier or becoming desynchronized, affecting ecosystems, agriculture, and biodiversity. This paper explores the mechanisms through which climate change influences phenology, the observable effects across various regions and plant species, and the implications for ecological relationships and human systems. It also examines current methods of phenological monitoring and potential adaptation strategies.

Keywords: Phenology, Climate Change, Plant Development, Ecological Impact, Seasonal Shifts.

Introduction - Climate change, a consequence of human-induced greenhouse gas emissions and natural variability, has become one of the most pressing global challenges of the 21st century. It affects various aspects of the Earth's systems, including temperature, precipitation, atmospheric composition, and extreme weather patterns. Among the most ecologically sensitive indicators of climate change is *plant phenology*—the study of the timing of recurring biological events in plants and how these are influenced by environmental factors, particularly seasonal and interannual variations in climate. From the budding of trees in spring to the changing colors of leaves in autumn, plant phenological events are closely tied to climatic conditions, and even minor shifts in temperature or rainfall can cause significant alterations in these patterns.

The term “phenology” derives from the Greek word “phaino,” meaning to show or appear. It refers to observable life cycle events in plants, such as flowering, fruiting, leaf-out, and senescence. Phenological events are not just biological curiosities; they are critical components of ecosystems. They influence plant competition, reproductive success, species interactions (such as pollination and herbivory), and ecosystem services including carbon and nutrient cycling. Therefore, understanding how climate change affects plant phenology is essential for assessing ecological consequences and informing conservation, agriculture, and forestry practices.

Over the past few decades, scientific research has increasingly documented how global warming influences plant phenology. Across temperate zones, many plant species now flower earlier in the spring than they did in previous decades. This advancement in phenological timing

is largely attributed to rising average temperatures and altered precipitation regimes. The *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)* reports that global surface temperatures have increased by about 1.1°C since the pre-industrial era, with more significant changes in certain regions. These changes have affected not only the onset of phenophases but also their duration and synchronicity with other ecological processes.

Historically, phenological observations have played a significant role in agriculture and horticulture. Farmers in ancient civilizations used plant phenology to determine optimal planting and harvesting times. Today, these observations serve an additional purpose: they provide an empirical record of climate change. Long-term datasets from countries such as Japan (cherry blossom records), the United Kingdom (Marshall's phenological records), and Germany (Wald und Wiesen calendar) reveal clear evidence of shifting seasonal cycles. These historical records, now analyzed using modern statistical and modeling techniques, serve as benchmarks for understanding the current and future impact of climate change on vegetation.

Plant phenology is regulated by several environmental cues, primarily temperature, photoperiod (day length), and moisture availability. While photoperiod remains constant for a given latitude and date, temperature and precipitation patterns are increasingly influenced by anthropogenic climate change. As a result, the relative importance of these cues may shift, leading to mismatches between phenological events and the availability of essential biotic and abiotic resources. For instance, early flowering triggered by warmer temperatures might precede the emergence of

pollinators, thereby reducing reproductive success. Similarly, plants that leaf out early due to mild winters may be vulnerable to late spring frosts, causing damage to foliage and reducing productivity.

At the species level, responses to climate change in phenology are highly variable. Some species advance their flowering or leaf-out dates significantly, while others show minimal change or even delay. This variability can alter competitive dynamics within plant communities, leading to shifts in species composition and ecosystem structure. Furthermore, differential responses can disrupt mutualistic interactions such as plant-pollinator relationships, as well as antagonistic interactions like those between plants and herbivores. These ecological imbalances have far-reaching implications for biodiversity conservation, especially in regions already facing habitat fragmentation and other anthropogenic pressures.

Geographically, the effects of climate change on plant phenology are not uniform. In temperate regions, warming tends to advance spring phenophases and delay autumnal ones, effectively lengthening the growing season. This extension can enhance carbon uptake and increase forest productivity, but it may also increase water demand and susceptibility to drought stress. In tropical and arid regions, where temperature variability is less pronounced, changes in precipitation and extreme weather events play a more critical role in influencing phenology. For example, delayed monsoons or erratic rainfall patterns can significantly alter the flowering and fruiting times of economically and ecologically important species. In polar and alpine ecosystems, rapid warming has led to the earlier onset of growth and flowering in high-altitude and high-latitude plants, sometimes exposing them to new threats such as invasive species or pest outbreaks.

The implications of changing plant phenology extend beyond natural ecosystems. In agriculture, altered phenological patterns can influence crop yields, pest and disease cycles, and the timing of agricultural interventions such as irrigation, fertilization, and harvesting. For example, earlier flowering in fruit crops may result in mismatched pollination or increased risk of frost damage. In forestry, phenological changes can affect tree growth, wood quality, and forest regeneration. Urban landscapes also feel the impact, as changes in tree phenology affect aesthetics, air quality, allergen production, and urban heat island dynamics. Therefore, monitoring and understanding plant phenology under changing climatic conditions is vital for multiple sectors.

Phenological changes also intersect with socio-economic and cultural dimensions. In many cultures, seasonal events such as cherry blossom festivals in Japan or harvest celebrations in India are tied to plant phenology. As the timing of these events shifts, there may be cultural and economic consequences, especially for tourism and traditional practices. Additionally, phenological indicators

can serve as tools for climate change education and citizen science initiatives. Engaging the public in phenological monitoring not only expands data collection efforts but also raises awareness about the tangible effects of climate change on everyday life.

From a scientific standpoint, assessing the impact of climate change on plant phenology requires robust methodologies. These include long-term field observations, remote sensing technologies, controlled experiments, and predictive modeling. Satellite data, for instance, allow large-scale monitoring of vegetative greening and senescence across different biomes. Coupled with climate models, such data help forecast future phenological trends under different emission scenarios. However, challenges remain in standardizing observations, accounting for species-specific responses, and integrating phenological data with ecological and climatic models.

Despite the growing body of research, several gaps persist in our understanding of how climate change affects plant phenology. These include insufficient data from tropical and developing regions, limited knowledge of below-ground phenological processes (such as root growth), and the complexity of interactions among climate variables, plant traits, and biotic relationships. Furthermore, most studies focus on above-ground phenophases, often neglecting critical aspects such as seed dormancy, bud break, and reproductive success. Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing comprehensive models that can guide policy and management decisions.

Adaptation and mitigation strategies must also take phenological shifts into account. For conservation planning, understanding phenological trends can inform the design of protected areas, restoration efforts, and biodiversity corridors. In agriculture, breeding crop varieties that are resilient to changing phenological windows can help maintain food security. Forest management practices can be adjusted to accommodate changes in growth cycles and productivity. On a broader scale, integrating phenological data into climate models and early warning systems can enhance preparedness for climate-related risks, such as wildfires, droughts, and pest outbreaks.

Understanding Plant Phenology

Understanding Plant Phenology: Plant phenology—the study of the timing of periodic plant life cycle events and their relationship with environmental cues—is a foundational aspect of plant ecology and a sensitive indicator of climate variability and change. Phenological stages such as germination, leaf unfolding, flowering, fruiting, and senescence are crucial for plant survival, reproduction, and interaction with the surrounding ecosystem. These stages are regulated by a complex interplay of climatic variables, primarily temperature, photoperiod, and moisture availability. Any shifts in these environmental factors can lead to alterations in phenological timing, which in turn can disrupt ecological relationships and threaten biodiversity.

Climate Cues Governing Phenology: Phenology is deeply intertwined with climate, and the developmental stages of most plant species are triggered or influenced by three main environmental factors: temperature (thermal time), photoperiod (day length), and water availability through precipitation and soil moisture.

Temperature (Thermal Time): Temperature plays a critical role in determining the pace of plant development. Many plants have a thermal threshold—a minimum temperature that must be exceeded before growth processes can begin. Once this threshold is reached, plants require a cumulative amount of warmth, often quantified in “growing degree days” (GDD), to progress through stages such as bud burst, flowering, or fruit development. Warmer temperatures generally accelerate these processes. For example, in temperate climates, a warmer spring can lead to earlier flowering and leaf emergence. However, this advancement can expose plants to the risk of late frosts, which can damage tissues and reduce reproductive success.

Temperature-driven shifts are particularly pronounced in temperate and boreal ecosystems. Studies show that spring phenophases, such as flowering and leaf-out, have advanced by several days to weeks in recent decades, in direct response to rising global temperatures. While early phenology may extend the growing season, it can also desynchronize plants from the pollinators or mutualists they rely on, leading to potential declines in reproductive fitness.

Photoperiod (Day Length): In contrast to temperature, photoperiod remains stable from year to year at any given latitude, making it a reliable seasonal cue for many plants. Some species use photoperiod as a primary trigger for developmental changes, ensuring that flowering or seed development aligns with optimal environmental conditions. Short-day and long-day plants are classic examples of how day length influences plant behavior.

Unlike temperature, which can fluctuate widely with climate change, photoperiod acts as a constraint that limits how far phenological events can shift in some species. For instance, even if warm temperatures arrive earlier in the season, a plant whose flowering is strictly regulated by photoperiod may not advance its phenology significantly. This interaction between fixed and variable environmental cues introduces complexity into the study of phenological responses and underlines the need for species-specific analyses.

Precipitation and Soil Moisture: In arid and semi-arid regions, precipitation and soil moisture availability become the dominant environmental cues regulating phenological events. Here, the timing and amount of rainfall determine when seeds germinate, when leaves emerge, and when flowering and fruiting occur. Many desert plants, for example, remain dormant for extended periods and only initiate growth and reproduction following sufficient rainfall. The increasing unpredictability of rainfall patterns due to climate change—whether through prolonged droughts or

unseasonal deluges—poses a serious challenge to phenologically sensitive species in these environments. Additionally, changes in snowmelt timing in mountainous or high-latitude ecosystems can alter soil moisture regimes, thereby affecting phenological events tied to moisture availability.

Ecological Importance of Phenological Events: Phenological events are not isolated occurrences but are embedded within a web of ecological interactions. The timing of flowering, leaf-out, fruiting, and senescence influences not only plant fitness but also the broader ecological community and the functioning of entire ecosystems.

Pollination and Seed Dispersal: Flowering time is tightly linked to the activity of pollinators such as bees, butterflies, and birds. Any mismatch in timing—for example, if a plant flowers earlier than the arrival of its pollinators—can result in reduced pollination success, thereby lowering seed production. Conversely, if pollinators emerge before flowers are available, they may face food shortages. Such mismatches have already been observed in some ecosystems, raising concerns about the resilience of mutualistic relationships in the face of ongoing climate change.

Similarly, fruiting phenology affects the animals that rely on fruits and seeds for food. Changes in fruiting time can influence migration patterns, reproduction, and survival of frugivorous species such as birds, bats, and mammals. Delays or advances in seed dispersal can also impact the spatial distribution and regeneration dynamics of plant populations.

Interactions with Herbivores and Pathogens: Leaf-out timing influences interactions with herbivorous insects and pathogens. Plants that leaf out earlier may benefit from a longer photosynthetic season but may also become susceptible to early-season pests or diseases. On the other hand, plants that leaf out later may avoid early herbivory but face increased competition for resources. The delicate balance between phenology, herbivory, and plant defense strategies is critical to understanding how plant communities will respond to shifting climate regimes.

Ecosystem Services: Phenological shifts also affect ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, nutrient cycling, and habitat provision. For instance, earlier spring leaf-out and delayed autumn senescence can lengthen the growing season, thereby enhancing carbon uptake by forests. However, these changes can also increase evapotranspiration and water demand, potentially stressing ecosystems under water-limited conditions.

In agricultural systems, phenology governs planting, harvesting, and pest management schedules. Climate-driven phenological changes may require adjustments in crop varieties, irrigation practices, and labor planning. Similarly, forestry and urban landscaping must consider phenological shifts to maintain productivity and ecological

balance.

Mechanisms of Climate-Induced Phenological Changes: Climate change, particularly global warming, is significantly altering the timing of key phenological events in plants such as germination, flowering, fruiting, and leaf senescence. These changes are driven by several interacting mechanisms rooted in plant physiology and environmental cue responsiveness. The most prominent drivers include increased temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, shifts in photoperiod interactions, and elevated atmospheric CO₂ levels.

1. Temperature Sensitivity and Thermal Accumulation: Many plant species use temperature as a signal to initiate life cycle events. Warmer temperatures lead to faster accumulation of growing degree days (GDD), which are necessary for physiological development. As global average temperatures rise, plants may reach their developmental thresholds earlier, resulting in shifts such as early blooming or earlier onset of vegetative growth. This sensitivity varies by species, with some responding rapidly and others remaining more conservative, leading to potential mismatches in ecological timing.

2. Altered Precipitation and Soil Moisture: In regions where water availability is the limiting factor, especially in arid and semi-arid ecosystems, precipitation plays a dominant role in phenological timing. Climate-induced changes in rainfall patterns—more intense rainfall events, prolonged dry periods, or altered snowmelt timing—can disrupt soil moisture dynamics. This can delay or advance events like seed germination and flowering, especially for drought-sensitive species.

3. Photoperiod Interactions and Constraint: While photoperiod (day length) is not changing due to climate change, it interacts with other cues like temperature to regulate phenological responses. In some species, temperature cues can override photoperiodic control, leading to earlier development. However, species with strong photoperiodic requirements may exhibit limited phenological shifts, resulting in ecological mismatches with mutualists like pollinators or herbivores.

4. CO₂ Concentration Effects: Elevated atmospheric CO₂ can directly influence plant metabolism and growth rates. Increased CO₂ may enhance photosynthesis and water-use efficiency, potentially accelerating developmental processes. However, the impact of CO₂ on phenology is species-specific and can be moderated by nutrient limitations or competition.

5. Genetic and Epigenetic Regulation: Climate change may also trigger epigenetic modifications and gene expression changes linked to phenological traits. Plants with greater genetic plasticity are more likely to adapt to changing conditions, whereas less flexible species may suffer declines.

In summary, climate-induced phenological shifts are driven by a complex interaction of environmental signals

and internal plant mechanisms. Understanding these mechanisms is vital for predicting ecological impacts and adapting conservation or agricultural practices accordingly.

Ecological Consequences of Phenological Shifts: Phenological shifts in plants due to climate change have profound ecological consequences, disrupting interactions within and across species and ecosystems. One of the most significant impacts is the mismatch between plants and their pollinators. When flowering occurs earlier than usual but pollinators do not adjust their activity accordingly, plant reproduction may decline, affecting fruit and seed production. Similarly, herbivores that rely on young leaves or buds may find reduced food availability if plant development no longer aligns with their life cycles.

Trophic interactions are also affected, as altered plant phenology impacts the food web. For instance, migratory birds or insects that depend on specific plant stages may suffer if these events no longer coincide with their arrival. Moreover, competitive balances among species can change, favoring invasive or more adaptable plants over native species, leading to shifts in biodiversity.

Ecosystem services—such as carbon sequestration, nutrient cycling, and water regulation—are influenced by changes in leaf-out or senescence timing. These shifts may lead to longer or shorter growing seasons, altering productivity and ecosystem resilience.

Monitoring and Modeling Plant Phenology : Monitoring and modeling plant phenology are essential components of understanding ecological responses to climate change. Phenology—defined as the study of periodic biological events in relation to environmental cues—serves as a powerful indicator of climate variability and ecosystem health. As global temperatures rise and precipitation patterns shift, reliable data on plant life-cycle events like flowering, leaf emergence, fruiting, and senescence become crucial for predicting ecological outcomes.

Monitoring Techniques: Phenological monitoring involves both direct observations and remote sensing technologies. Traditional **field-based monitoring** requires manual recording of phenophases—such as first bloom or leaf fall—over time. Networks like the USA National Phenology Network (USA-NPN), Pan European Phenology Network (PEP725), and India's National Phenological Observatory have collected such data to track changes across species and regions.

However, **remote sensing tools** such as satellite imagery and drones have significantly enhanced monitoring capabilities. These technologies assess vegetation indices like the **Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)**, which detect the timing and intensity of greening or browning at large scales. This broad spatial coverage enables scientists to evaluate phenological patterns over landscapes, ecosystems, and even continents.

Citizen science has also contributed to large-scale phenology data collection. Projects like Project BudBurst

and Nature's Notebook engage the public in observing and reporting phenological events, thereby increasing data availability and fostering environmental awareness.

Modeling Approaches: Modeling plant phenology involves using environmental variables—mainly temperature, light, and moisture—to simulate the timing of developmental events. These models are crucial for understanding current trends and projecting future changes in response to climate scenarios.

Thermal time models, such as Growing Degree Days (GDD), estimate the accumulation of heat units required for plants to reach certain stages. These models assume a baseline or threshold temperature and calculate development based on cumulative daily heat above this base.

Chilling models are particularly useful in temperate regions, where plants require a period of winter chilling followed by warming to trigger flowering or budburst. These models incorporate both chilling and forcing temperatures to accurately predict spring phenophases.

Photoperiodic models integrate the effect of day length, recognizing that many species respond to changes in light duration as a stable seasonal cue, especially near the equator where temperature variation is less pronounced. More advanced models, such as **process-based or mechanistic models**, attempt to simulate physiological responses by incorporating multiple factors and species-specific characteristics. These models are often integrated into **Earth system models** to forecast ecosystem-level responses under climate change.

Regional Case Studies of Plant Phenology in India : India's diverse climatic zones and ecological regions make it an important area for studying plant phenology. From the Himalayas in the north to the coastal plains in the south, phenological events across the country vary considerably due to differences in temperature, precipitation, altitude, and photoperiod. Several regional case studies have provided insight into how plant species respond to local environmental factors and climate change.

1. Himalayan Region (Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh): In the Himalayan region, phenological shifts have been observed in temperate forest species such as *Rhododendron arboreum*, *Quercusleucotrichophora* (Banj oak), and *Cedrusdeodara*. A study conducted in Uttarakhand found that flowering and leafing events of these species are occurring earlier by 7 to 14 days compared to historical records, largely due to rising spring temperatures. The reduced chilling periods in winter are also delaying dormancy release in certain species. These shifts can have cascading effects on pollinators like bees and birds, which may not adapt as quickly, disrupting established ecological interactions.

2. Western Ghats (Kerala and Karnataka): The Western Ghats, a UNESCO World Heritage Site, are a biodiversity hotspot with a large number of endemic species. Studies

in Silent Valley National Park and other forested areas have shown that phenological patterns of tropical species like *Hopea parviflora*, *Terminalia paniculata*, and *Dipterocarpus indicus* are closely tied to the monsoon season. Delayed or erratic rainfall patterns linked to climate change have resulted in asynchronous flowering and fruiting in many of these species. This, in turn, affects seed dispersal and regeneration, threatening long-term forest stability.

3. Central India (Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh): In the dry deciduous forests of central India, phenological studies have focused on economically and ecologically significant species like *Tectona grandis* (teak), *Madhuca indica* (mahua), and *Butea monosperma* (palash). Research indicates that rising temperatures and altered rainfall patterns have affected the timing of flowering and fruiting, which can reduce yield and disrupt traditional tribal harvesting practices. This has implications not only for biodiversity but also for the livelihoods of forest-dependent communities.

4. Northeastern India (Assam and Arunachal Pradesh): The phenology of bamboo species and subtropical plants like *Michelia champaca* and *Magnolia hodgsonii* in the northeast has shown high sensitivity to moisture and temperature. These regions experience unique climatic conditions due to the monsoon and their elevation. Recent studies indicate earlier onset of flowering and shortened fruiting periods. Such changes are likely to impact species that depend on bamboo, such as the endangered red panda and certain bird species.

5. Arid and Semi-Arid Zones (Rajasthan and Gujarat): In the Thar Desert and surrounding regions, plant phenology is heavily dependent on rainfall. Species like *Prosopis juliflora* and *Acacia senegal* show delayed flowering in drought years, and premature leaf shedding has become more common. These shifts can affect forage availability for livestock and alter local microclimates. Researchers in Rajasthan have also used satellite imagery to detect greening trends, which correlate with phenological changes in vegetation.

These regional case studies highlight the complex relationship between climate variables and plant phenology across India's ecological zones. Ongoing monitoring, coupled with indigenous knowledge and scientific modeling, is crucial for understanding and managing the impacts of climate change on Indian ecosystems.

Challenges and Research Gaps: Despite significant advances in plant phenology research, several challenges and gaps remain, particularly in the context of climate change. One major challenge is the lack of long-term, standardized phenological data across diverse ecological regions. Many observations are either short-term or regionally confined, limiting the ability to draw broad conclusions. Additionally, much of the available data comes from temperate regions, while tropical and subtropical areas

like India remain underrepresented.

Another critical issue is the insufficient integration of indigenous knowledge with scientific data. Traditional communities often possess detailed ecological knowledge, which can enrich phenological research but is rarely included in formal studies. Technological limitations, such as inconsistent use of remote sensing or satellite tools, further restrict monitoring at a national scale.

Furthermore, most current models fail to incorporate multiple environmental drivers simultaneously, such as temperature, precipitation, and photoperiod, reducing the accuracy of predictions. Also, species-specific responses to climate variability are not well understood, especially in biodiverse ecosystems.

There is an urgent need for interdisciplinary research that combines field-based observations, remote sensing, and climate modeling. Bridging these gaps is essential for enhancing climate resilience, conserving biodiversity, and managing ecosystems more effectively under changing environmental conditions.

Conclusion: Understanding plant phenology is critical in the face of ongoing climate change, as it serves as a sensitive indicator of ecological responses to environmental shifts. Phenological changes—such as earlier flowering, delayed leaf fall, or disrupted fruiting—are no longer isolated phenomena but are widespread and increasingly well-documented across regions and species. These changes are driven by various climate variables, including temperature, photoperiod, and precipitation, and can influence key ecological processes such as pollination, seed dispersal, and species interactions.

The ecological consequences of these shifts are profound. They affect biodiversity, disrupt food webs, and may lead to mismatches between plants and their pollinators or herbivores. This can compromise ecosystem services that are vital for human well-being, such as crop productivity and forest regeneration. Regional studies, particularly from India, have shown that phenological changes vary across ecosystems—from the Himalayas to the Western Ghats—depending on local climatic patterns and species compositions.

Despite progress, there remain considerable challenges in monitoring, modeling, and predicting plant phenology. Gaps in long-term datasets, limited use of remote sensing technologies, and inadequate integration of traditional ecological knowledge hamper efforts to fully understand these dynamics. Addressing these gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration and investment in both ground-based and technological tools for phenological research.

References:-

1. Cleland, E. E., Chuine, I., Menzel, A., Mooney, H. A., & Schwartz, M. D. (2007). Shifting plant phenology in response to global change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 22(7), 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.04.003>
2. Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, 421(6918), 37–42. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01286>
3. Schwartz, M. D. (Ed.). (2003). *Phenology: An Integrative Environmental Science*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0632-3>
4. Richardson, A. D., Keenan, T. F., Migliavacca, M., Ryu, Y., Sonnentag, O., & Toomey, M. (2013). Climate change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 169, 156–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.09.012>
5. Piao, S., Tan, K., Nan, H., Ciais, P., Fang, J., Wang, T., & Vuichard, N. (2012). Impacts of climate change on phenology and productivity in Northern Hemisphere terrestrial ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 18(2), 566–583. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02590.x>
6. Menzel, A., Sparks, T. H., Estrella, N., Koch, E., Aasa, A., Ahas, R., ... Zust, A. (2006). European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biology*, 12(10), 1969–1976. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01193.x>
7. Jagadish, S. V. K., Bahuguna, R. N., Djanaguiraman, M., Gamuyao, R., Prasad, P. V. V., & Craufurd, P. Q. (2016). Implications of high temperature and elevated CO₂ on flowering time in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 913. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00913>
8. Zohner, C. M., & Renner, S. S. (2019). Ongoing seasonally uneven climate warming leads to earlier autumn growth cessation in deciduous trees. *Oecologia*, 189, 1061–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04385-1>
9. Morissette, J. T., Richardson, A. D., Knapp, A. K., Fisher, J. I., Graham, E. A., Abatzoglou, J., ... Wilson, B. E. (2009). Tracking the rhythm of the seasons in the face of global change: Phenological research in the 21st century. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(5), 253–260. <https://doi.org/10.1890/070217>
10. Basu, P., & Pal, B. (2010). Climate change and phenology in India: A review. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 37(1), 27–34.
11. Gautam, R. D., & Baral, H. S. (2020). Impact of climate change on plant phenology in the Western Himalayas. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 41(5), 1194–1202. <https://doi.org/10.22438/jeb/41/5/MRN-1479>
12. Kulkarni, S. S., & Patil, V. C. (2021). Seasonal variation and climate-induced flowering shifts in tropical tree species of Western Ghats, India. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 33(4), 476–487. <https://doi.org/10.26525/jtfs2021.33.4.476>

13. IPCC. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.
14. Jeganathan, C., Dash, J., & Atkinson, P. M. (2014). Remotely sensed trends in vegetation phenology across India. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 35(24), 8213–8231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2014.980288>
15. Saha, A., & Raj, A. (2022). Phenological shifts and productivity patterns in Indian deciduous forests using MODIS and climate data. *Ecological Indicators*, 137, 108705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.108705>
