Naveen Shodh Sansar (An International Refereed / Peer Review Multidisciplinary Research Journal)
RNI No.- MPHIN/2013/60638, ISSN 2320-8767, E- ISSN 2394-3793, Scientific Journal Impact Factor (SJIF)- 8.054,
April to June 2026, E-Journal, Vol. I, Issue LIV (54), ISO 9001:2015 - E2024049304 (QMS)

Gender Quotas in Politics: Historical Development, Global Trends, and the Debate on Their Merits and Demerits

Nitya Kanwar* **Dr. Manju Ladla****

***Research Scholar, Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya Shekhawati University, Sikar (Raj.) INDIA**
****Professor, Shri Kalyan Rajkiya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Sikar (Raj.) INDIA**

Abstract: Gender quotas have emerged as an important mechanism for promoting women's political representation across the world. This paper examines the historical development of gender quotas, analyses contemporary global and regional trends in their adoption at parliamentary and local government levels, and explores the debates surrounding their merits and demerits. Drawing on data from the International IDEA and United Nations Gender Quota Portal, the study highlights variations in quota types, targets, electoral systems, and legal frameworks across regions. It further evaluates the role of gender quotas in advancing political inclusion while addressing concerns related to merit, tokenism, and democratic representation.

Keywords: Gender Quotas, Gender Equality, Women, Reservation, Parliament, Local Government, Electoral System, Representation, Governance, Decision-making, Political Parties.

Introduction - Gender quotas have emerged as one of the most significant institutional mechanisms for promoting women's political representation and advancing gender-inclusive governance across the world. Despite constituting nearly half of the global population, women have historically remained underrepresented in political institutions due to deep-rooted social, cultural, economic, and structural barriers. The demand for greater political inclusion gained momentum through international movements advocating gender equality, particularly following the United Nations' initiatives such as the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (1995). In response, countries across different political systems have increasingly adopted gender quotas as a policy instrument to address the persistent gender gap in political participation and decision-making.

Gender quotas are affirmative action measures designed to increase the representation of women in politics and corporate leadership by mandating a minimum share of seats, candidates, or board positions. Three main types of political quotas exist. First, voluntary party quotashave been adopted by political parties in a number of countries and involve a party committing itself to nominating a certain percentage of female candidates for electoral lists. Second, candidate quotasare required by the law of a country and stipulate that a certain number of candidate positions must be reserved for women. They sometimes include conditions on the position of women on the electoral list, for instance by requiring that every second entry on the list must be a woman. Finally, reserved seats are positions for which only female candidates can compete and are used as a more direct way of regulating the number of women in elected positions.

Historical Development: Gender quotas are one of the key institutional innovations in the politics of the late twentieth century. Quotas can apply to the local or national level of a political system. A handful of countries adopted some type of quota prior to the 1970s. The earliest adopters included both consolidated democracies and other countries. The first quotas were sometimes small, such as Pakistan's, which set aside 10 out of 310 seats in the National Assembly in its first Constitution in 1956, or vague, such as China's, which in 1955 stated that "an 'appropriate' and increasing proportion of women should be elected. The Nordic countries were the first to introduce quotas. Norway's Socialist Left Party took the lead in 1975 by introducing a 40% minimum target for representation of both sexes on electoral lists, and other parties in Norway, as well as a Denmark and Sweden, soon followed this example. In the 1980s, left-leaning political parties in consolidated democracies such as Austria, Belgium, Canada, Germany and Iceland began to voluntarily adopt gender quotas.

Many Western European countries, as well as Australia and Canada, have also implemented voluntary party quotas. The acceptance of quotas in Eastern Europe is much lower,

but some countries, such as the Czech Republic and Hungary, have voluntary party quotas. The United States is a notable exception among Western countries, as it does not apply any quotas.

In Latin America, quota schemes have been on the rise since the early 1990s, and have mainly taken the form of legislated candidate quotas. First adopted by Argentina in 1991, this type of quota has since been implemented in ten other Latin American countries. The quota adoption process was facilitated by the consolidation of democracy in the 1980s, which fostered the emergence of women's associations and other civil rights groups.

Africa has witnessed the adoption of a wide variety of quota schemes over the past decades. South Africa for instance, where the African National Congress introduced quotas in the first post-apartheid democratic elections in 1994, is considered the forerunner for voluntary party quotas on the continent. Uganda took the lead in introducing reserved seats in 1986 and this experiment has since been replicated in other East African countries (Djibouti, Eritrea, Somalia, and Sudan).

Countries in South Asia have also increasingly used political quotas, and here, reserved seats have been the predominant tool, although voluntary and legislated quotas do exist in some countries. South Asia is the forerunner in the implementation of quotas at the local government level, as evidenced from Bangladesh, India and Pakistan. India constitutionally reserved 33% seats for women at the local government level in 1992 and in 2023 passed the bill to ensure that women occupy at least 33 per cent of the seats in state legislative assemblies and the Lok Sabha.

In the Middle East, where cultural aspects constrain the participation of women in public life, quotas have found little support. Notable exceptions are Egypt and Jordan, where a fraction of seats in the lower house of parliament is reserved for women, and Israel, where parties use voluntary quotas.

Global Trends in Gender Quotas in Parliamentary Representation- The global trajectory of gender quotas in politics demonstrates their growing acceptance as an institutional mechanism for enhancing women's political representation. As reflected in Table 1, 93 out of 194 countries have adopted legislated gender quotas for national parliaments, indicating that nearly half of the world's countries have incorporated quota-based measures into their electoral frameworks. Candidate quotas are the most widely adopted mechanism, being implemented in 78 countries, whereas 19 countries employ reserved seats for women. Four countries have adopted both reserved seats and candidate quotas, reflecting a hybrid approach to achieving gender-balanced political representation. These patterns suggest that contemporary quota policies increasingly favour integrating women into mainstream electoral competition rather than relying exclusively on reserved political positions.

Table 1 (see in last page)

Regional variations in Table 1 reveal important differences in both the design and implementation of gender quotas. Africa has emerged as the leading region in terms of quota adoption, with 34 of its 54 countries implementing legislated quotas. The region employs a combination of reserved seats and candidate quotas and exhibits considerable diversity in quota targets and electoral arrangements. Europe follows with 21 countries adopting quotas, relying exclusively on candidate quotas and demonstrating relatively ambitious quota targets, with the majority of countries prescribing women's representation between 40 and 49 percent. Similarly, the Americas have embraced candidate quotas as the dominant model, with several countries advancing toward electoral laws. In contrast, Asia presents a mixed model, combining reserved seats and candidate quotas across 17 countries. Oceania remains the least represented region, with only two countries employing legislated quotas, highlighting significant regional disparities in the diffusion of quota policies.

According to Table 1, a notable global trend is the increasing preference for higher quota targets. Among countries with legislated quotas, the 30–39 percent range remains the most common target category (36 countries), reflecting the widespread influence of the internationally endorsed "critical mass" principle that considers 30 percent women's representation necessary for meaningful participation in decision-making processes. However, the growing adoption of quotas in the 40–49 percent range (21 countries) and parity-based 50 percent targets (18 countries) demonstrates a gradual normative shift from ensuring minimum representation to pursuing substantive gender equality in political institutions. The Americas particularly exemplify this movement toward parity democracy, whereas Europe has institutionalized comparatively higher quota thresholds than most other regions.

Electoral systems in also significantly shape the implementation of gender quotas. Table 1 shows Proportional Representation (PR) systems dominate among quota-adopting countries, accounting for 48 cases globally. Majority/Plurality systems (21 countries) and Mixed electoral systems (20 countries) constitute the next most common arrangements, while only a few countries employ indirect electoral mechanisms or have quota provisions awaiting implementation. The predominance of PR systems supports existing scholarship suggesting that proportional electoral arrangements provide more favourable institutional environments for quota implementation by facilitating party list mechanisms and increasing opportunities for women's electoral inclusion.

The legal foundations of gender quotas further demonstrate a global preference for formal legislative mechanisms. Table 1 shows electoral laws constitute the

most common legal source, appearing in 73 countries, followed by parity laws in 24 countries and constitutional provisions in 17 countries. Party laws (6 countries), financing laws (4 countries), and political agreements (1 country) remain comparatively uncommon instruments. The predominance of electoral legislation indicates that states increasingly view gender quotas not merely as voluntary political commitments but as legally enforceable democratic provisions designed to address historical gender disparities in political representation.

Taken together, these trends reveal that gender quotas have evolved from experimental policy interventions into widely institutionalized democratic mechanisms across diverse political contexts. Although considerable regional differences persist regarding quota design, target levels, electoral systems, and legal frameworks, the overall global pattern points toward the gradual normalization of gender-inclusive political institutions. The contemporary debate has consequently shifted beyond the question of whether gender quotas should exist to broader discussions concerning their effectiveness, implementation, and implications for substantive democratic representation.

Global Trends in Gender Quotas at the Local Government Level- Gender quotas have increasingly been extended beyond national legislatures to local government institutions, reflecting a broader commitment to promoting women's political participation across all levels of governance. Global statistics in Table 2 indicate that 82 out of 194 countries have adopted legislated gender quotas for local governments. Of these, 70 countries employ candidate quotas, while 17 countries have reserved seats for women. Five countries have adopted both mechanisms simultaneously, demonstrating the continued global preference for candidate quotas as the principal instrument for promoting women's political inclusion at the grassroots level.

Table 2 (see in last page)

The most common quota target according to Table 2 is 30–39 percent women's representation (36 countries), followed by 40–49 percent (18 countries) and parity-based 50 percent quotas (16 countries), indicating a growing global commitment to gender-inclusive governance. Proportional Representation (PR) systems are the most conducive electoral arrangements for quota implementation, accounting for 42 countries, followed by Mixed(24) and Majority/Plurality systems (16). Electoral laws remain the primary legal basis for local government quotas (57 countries), supplemented by local government laws (24), parity laws (22), constitutional provisions (6), and party laws (5). No country currently relies on financing laws or political agreements for legislated local government quotas.

Regional patterns in local government gender quotas as shown in Table 2 reveal significant variation in their design and implementation across the world. Africa has emerged as the leading region, with 30 out of 54 countries adopting gender quotas, followed by Asia and Europe with 18 countries each, and the Americas with 16 countries. While Europe relies exclusively on candidate quotas, Africa and Asia employ a combination of reserved seats and candidate quotas, reflecting different institutional approaches to women's political inclusion.

According to Table 2, candidate quotas remain the most widely used mechanism across all regions, although reserved seats are particularly prominent in Africa and Asia. The quota targets also differ considerably. Europe predominantly adopts higher targets of 40–49 percent women, indicating a strong commitment to near parity at the local level. The Americas demonstrates a notable preference for parity-based quotas (50 per cent), while Africa and Asia largely concentrate on the 30–39 percent range, suggesting a gradual approach towards gender-balanced representation.

Electoral systems further shape quota implementation. As shown in Table 2, Proportional representation is dominant in Europe, Africa, and the Americas, whereas Asia relies more heavily on mixed and majoritarian systems. Electoral laws constitute the primary legal basis for quotas across all regions, often supplemented by constitutions, local government laws, and parity laws. Africa exhibits the most diverse legal framework for quotas, reflecting greater institutional experimentation.

Overall, global trends indicate that gender quotas in local government have become an important mechanism for advancing women's political representation beyond national parliaments. The growing adoption of higher quota targets, the predominance of candidate quotas, and the reliance on formal legislative instruments collectively reflect a broader international commitment to fostering gender-inclusive democratic governance. Local governments increasingly serve as critical spaces for women's political participation and leadership development, often functioning as entry points into national political institutions.

The Debate on the Merits and Demerits of Gender Quotas - Gender quotas remain one of the most debated institutional reforms in contemporary democratic politics. While proponents view quotas as an effective mechanism for correcting historical and structural barriers that have limited women's political participation, critics question their implications for merit, democratic choice, and substantive representation. The debate reflects broader disagreements regarding equality, representation, and the role of institutional interventions in democratic systems.

Supporters argue that gender quotas serve as a "fast-track" mechanism for achieving political equality by accelerating women's entry into political institutions that have traditionally been dominated by men. Rather than viewing women's underrepresentation as the result of individual choices alone, quota advocates emphasize the existence of structural obstacles such as gender stereotypes, unequal access to political networks, and

discriminatory candidate selection processes. Gender quotas, therefore, are considered compensatory measures that create a more level playing field and strengthen democratic legitimacy by making political institutions more representative of society.

Another major advantage of gender quotas is their demonstrated effectiveness in increasing women's descriptive representation. Countries that have implemented well-designed quota systems, particularly those supported by strong legal frameworks and enforcement mechanisms, have witnessed substantial increases in the number of women elected to political office. Increased women's representation has also been associated with greater attention to issues such as education, healthcare, gender-based violence, and social welfare policies. Moreover, quotas can generate broader societal effects by challenging traditional gender norms and encouraging greater political participation among women.

Despite these benefits, gender quotas continue to attract significant criticism. One of the most common objections is that quotas may undermine the principle of meritocracy by prioritizing gender over qualifications. Critics argue that political representation should be determined solely through electoral competition and individual merit rather than through legally mandated numerical targets. Opponents also contend that quotas may create perceptions that women elected under such provisions are less competent or are "quota candidates," potentially affecting their political legitimacy despite possessing equal or superior qualifications.

Another criticism concerns the distinction between descriptive and substantive representation. Increasing the numerical presence of women in political institutions does not automatically guarantee that women's interests will be effectively represented in policymaking. Women legislators do not constitute a homogeneous group and may hold diverse ideological, social, and political positions. Consequently, quotas may successfully address numerical underrepresentation without necessarily transforming existing power structures or advancing gender-sensitive policy outcomes.

Implementation challenges further complicate the effectiveness of quota policies. Political parties may comply formally with quota requirements while circumventing their substantive objectives by nominating women in electorally unfavourable constituencies or assigning thempositions low on party lists. The effectiveness of quotas, therefore, depends significantly on accompanying institutional mechanisms such as placement mandates, enforcement provisions, and supportive electoral systems. Comparative studies demonstrate that quota outcomes vary considerably across countries depending on their political and legal contexts.

The debate on gender quotas has consequently evolved beyond the question of whether women should participate equally in politics to broader concerns regarding how democratic institutions can most effectively achieve inclusive representation. While quotas have proven to be powerful instruments for increasing women's political presence, their long-term success depends upon thoughtful institutional design, effective implementation, and broader societal commitments to gender equality. Rather than representing either a complete solution or a threat to democratic principles, gender quotas may be better understood as one among several complementary strategies for strengthening representative democracy and promoting substantive political inclusion.

Conclusion - Gender quotas have become one of the most significant institutional mechanisms for addressing the historical underrepresentation of women in politics. Their evolution from isolated affirmative-action measures to widely adopted democratic reforms reflects a growing global commitment to gender equality and inclusive governance. India's constitutional commitment to adoption of gender quotas at both grass-root and parliamentary level is a prime example of it. The analysis of global trends demonstrates that countries across different regions have adopted diverse quota models—reserved seats, candidate quotas, and parity laws—tailored to their political and electoral contexts. While critics argue that quotas may undermine meritocracy or encourage token representation, a substantial body of evidence suggests that they have contributed to increasing women's political participation, improving policy responsiveness to social issues, and challenging long-standing gender barriers within political institutions. Thus, despite certain limitations, gender quotas remain an important tool for advancing substantive and descriptive representation of women.

References:-

1. United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women). (n.d.). *United Nations Gender Quota Portal*.
2. Pande, R., & Ford, D. (2011, April 7). *Gender quotas and female leadership: A review* (Background Paper for the World Development Report on Gender). Harvard University.
3. Crocker, A. P. (2010). Jumping on the Bandwagon: Origins and Effects of Gender Quotas Worldwide [Review of *Quotas for Women in Politics: Gender and Candidate Selection Reform Worldwide*, by M. L. Krook]. *International Studies Review*, *12*(4), 686–689.
4. Hughes, M. M., Paxton, P., Clayton, A. B., & Zetterberg, P. (2019). Global Gender Quota Adoption, Implementation, and Reform. *Comparative Politics*, *51*(2), 219–238.
5. Bush, S. S. (2011). International Politics and the Spread of Quotas for Women in Legislatures. *International Organization*, *65*(1), 103–137.
6. Dahlerup, D. (2007). Electoral gender quotas: Between

equality of opportunity and equality of result. *Representation, 43*(2), 73–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890701363227

7. Dahlerup, D., &Freidenvall, L. (2009). Gender quotas in politics—A constitutional challenge. In S. H. Williams (Ed.), *Constituting equality: Gender equality and comparative constitutional law* (pp. 29–52). Cambridge University Press.
8. Krook, M. L. (2006). Gender quotas, norms, and politics. *Politics & Gender, 2*(1), 110–118.
9. Krook, M. L. (2009). *Quotas for women in politics: Gender and candidate selection reform worldwide*. Oxford University Press.
10. Krook, M. L., Lovenduski, J., & Squires, J. (2009). Gender quotas and models of political citizenship. *British Journal of Political Science, 39*(4), 781–803.

Table 1: Regional Overview of Gender Quotas in Parliaments

Region (No. of countries)	Countries with Quota (no.)	Quota Type(No. of countries)			Quota Target Range (% of Women) (No. of Countries)					Electoral Systems (No. of countries)					Legal sources (No. of countries)					
		Reserved seats	Candidate Quotas	Both Types	<20%	20-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50%	Proportional Representation	Majority/ plurality	Mixed	Indirect Elections	Not yet Established	Constitutions	Electoral Laws	Parity Laws	Party Laws	Financing Laws	Political Agreement
Europe (43)	21	0	21	0	0	0	4	14	3	18	1	2	0	0	1	18	6	0	4	0
Africa (54)	34	12	24	2	3	6	18	3	4	12	11	9	1	1	9	25	15	1	0	1
Americas (35)	19	0	19	0	0	1	6	3	9	14	1	4	0	0	1	16	2	3	0	0
Asia (48)	17	6	13	2	3	3	8	1	2	4	6	5	2	0	5	14	1	1	0	0
Oceania (14)	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Total (194)	**93**	**19**	**78**	**4**	**8**	**10**	**36**	**21**	**18**	**48**	**21**	**20**	**3**	**1**	**17**	**73**	**24**	**6**	**4**	**1**

Source: United Nations Gender Quota Portal, Quota Analysis - Parliament

Table 2: Regional Overview of Gender Quotas in Local Government

Region (No. of countries)	Countries with Quota (no.)	Quota Type(No. of countries)			Quota Target Range (% of Women) (No. of Countries)					Electoral Systems (No. of countries)					Legal sources (No. of countries)						
		Reserved seats	Candidate Quotas	Both Types	<20%	20-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50%	Proportional Representation	Majority/ plurality	Mixed	Indirect Elections	Not yet Established	Constitutions	Electoral Laws	Local Government Law	Parity Laws	Party Laws	Financing Laws	Political Agreement
Europe (43)	18	0	18	0	0	0	3	12	3	13	0	5	0	0	0	14	5	4	0	0	0
Africa (54)	30	7	25	2	1	4	19	2	4	17	7	6	0	0	3	18	9	15	1	0	0
Americas (35)	16	1	16	1	0	1	5	2	8	11	1	4	0	0	1	13	4	3	4	0	0
Asia (48)	18	9	11	2	2	4	9	2	1	1	8	9	0	0	2	12	6	0	0	0	0
Oceania (14)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (194)	**82**	**17**	**70**	**5**	**3**	**9**	**36**	**18**	**16**	**42**	**16**	**24**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**57**	**24**	**22**	**5**	**0**	**0**

Source: United Nations Gender Quota Portal, Quota Analysis – Local Government.

****